

REFERENCES

- [1] 2018. CS Discoveries Curriculum Guide 2018 - 2019. (2018). <https://curriculum.code.org/csd-18/>
- [2] Lucas F. Abreu, Glivia A.R. Barbosa, Ismael S. Silva, and Natalia S. Santos. 2016. Characterizing Software Requirements Elicitation Processes: A Systematic Literature Review. In *Proceedings of the XII Brazilian Symposium on Information Systems: Information Systems in the Cloud Computing Era - Volume 1 (SBSI 2016)*. Brazilian Computer Society, Porto Alegre, Brazil, Brazil, 26:192–26:199. <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=3021955.3021988>
- [3] Albert Bandura. 2010. Self-efficacy. *The Corsini encyclopedia of psychology* (2010), 1–3.
- [4] R. J. Barnes, D. C. Gause, and E. C. Way. 2008. Teaching the Unknown and the Unknowable in Requirements Engineering Education. In *2008 Requirements Engineering Education and Training*. 30–37. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/REET.2008.6>
- [5] Engin Bozdog. 2013. Bias in Algorithmic Filtering and Personalization. *Ethics and information technology* 15, 3 (2013), 209–227. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10676-013-9321-6>
- [6] Ofra Brandes and Michal Armoni. 2019. Using Action Research to Distill Research-Based Segments of Pedagogical Content Knowledge of K-12 Computer Science Teachers. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education (ITiCSE '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 485–491. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3304221.3319773> event-place: Aberdeen, Scotland Uk.
- [7] Carol B. Brandt, Katherine Cennamo, Sarah Douglas, Mitzi Vernon, Margarita McGrath, and Yolanda Reimer. 2013. A Theoretical Framework for the Studio as a Learning Environment. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education* 23, 2 (2013), 329–348.
- [8] John D. Bransford, Ann L. Brown, Rodney R. Cocking, and others. 2000. *How People Learn*. Vol. 11. Washington, DC: National academy press.
- [9] Robin Braun, Wayne Brookes, Roger Hadgraft, and Zenon Chaczko. 2019. Assessment Design for Studio-Based Learning. In *Proceedings of the Twenty-First Australasian Computing Education Conference (ACE '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 106–111. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3286960.3286973>
- [10] Samantha Breslin and Bimlesh Wadhwa. 2014. Exploring Nuanced Gender Perspectives Within the HCI Community. In *Proceedings of the India HCI 2014 Conference on Human Computer Interaction (IndiaHCI '14)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 45:45–45:54. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2676702.2676709>
- [11] Samantha Breslin and Bimlesh Wadhwa. 2015. Towards a Gender HCI Curriculum. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference Extended Abstracts on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI EA '15)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1091–1096. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2702613.2732923>
- [12] Tim Brown and others. 2008. Design thinking. *Harvard Business Review* 86, 6 (2008), 84.
- [13] Margaret Burnett, Anicia Peters, Charles Hill, and Noha Elarief. 2016. Finding Gender-Inclusiveness Software Issues with GenderMag: A Field Investigation. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 2586–2598. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858274>
- [14] Parmit K. Chilana, Rishabh Singh, and Philip J. Guo. 2016. Understanding Conversational Programmers: A Perspective from the Software Industry. In *Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI'16)*. ACM Press, Santa Clara, California, USA, 1462–1472. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858323>
- [15] Elizabeth F. Churchill, Anne Bowser, and Jennifer Preece. 2013. Teaching and Learning Human-computer Interaction: Past, Present, and Future. *interactions* 20, 2 (March 2013), 44–53. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2427076.2427086>
- [16] Alma Leora Culén. 2015. HCI Education: Innovation, Creativity and Design Thinking. *International Conferences on Advances in Computer-Human Interactions* (2015), 125–130. <https://www.duo.uio.no/handle/10852/46215>
- [17] Alistair D. N. Edwards, Peter Wright, and Helen Petrie. 2006. HCI Education: We are Failing - Why?. In *In Proceedings of HCI Educators Workshop 2006*. 23–24.
- [18] Anthony Faiola. 2007. The Design Enterprise: Rethinking the HCI Education Paradigm. *Design Issues* 23, 3 (2007), 30–45. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1162/desi.2007.23.3.30>
- [19] Julie Gess-Newsome. 1999. Pedagogical Content Knowledge: An Introduction and Orientation. In *Examining Pedagogical Content Knowledge: The Construct and its Implications for Science Education*, Julie Gess-Newsome and Norman G. Lederman (Eds.). Springer Netherlands, Dordrecht, 3–17. DOI : http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47217-1_1
- [20] Guiseppe Getto and Fred Beecher. 2016. Toward a Model of UX Education: Training UX Designers within the Academy. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication* 59, 2 (2016), 153–164. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2016.2561139>

- [21] Susannah Go and Brian Dorn. 2016. Thanks for Sharing: CS Pedagogical Content Knowledge Sharing in Online Environments. In *Proceedings of the 11th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education on ZZZ - WiPSCe '16*. ACM Press, Münster, Germany, 27–36. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2978249.2978253>
- [22] J. A. Goguen and C. Linde. 1993. Techniques for Requirements Elicitation. In *[1993] Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Requirements Engineering*. 152–164. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ISRE.1993.324822>
- [23] Gabriela Goldschmidt and Anat Litan Sever. 2011. Inspiring Design Ideas with Texts. *Design Studies* 32, 2 (March 2011), 139–155. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2010.09.006>
- [24] Joanna Goode and Gail Chapman. 2011. *Exploring Computer Science*. Technical Report. Computer Science Equity Alliance. 296 pages. <http://www.exploringcs.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/08/ExploringComputerScience-v4.0.pdf>
- [25] Sukeshini Grandhi. 2015. Educating Ourselves on HCI Education. *interactions* 22, 6 (Oct. 2015), 69–71. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2834811>
- [26] Colin M. Gray. 2014. Evolution of Design Competence in UX Practice. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, 1645–1654. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2556288.2557264>
- [27] Colin M. Gray, Austin L. Toombs, and Shad Gross. 2015. Flow of Competence in UX Design Practice. In *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*. ACM, 3285–3294. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702579>
- [28] Tom Gross. 2014. Human-Computer Interaction Education and Diversity. In *Human-Computer Interaction. Theories, Methods, and Tools (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Masaaki Kurosu (Ed.). Springer International Publishing, 187–198.
- [29] Jan H. van Driel, Nico Verloop, and Wobbe de Vos. 1998. Developing Science Teachers' Pedagogical Content Knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching - J RES SCI TEACH* 35 (1998), 673–695. DOI : [http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2736\(199808\)35:6<673::AID-TEA5>3.0.CO;2-J](http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2736(199808)35:6<673::AID-TEA5>3.0.CO;2-J)
- [30] Rich Halstead-Nussloch and Han Reichgelt. 2013. Teaching HCI in a "Crowded" Computing Curriculum. *J. Comput. Sci. Coll.* 29, 2 (Dec. 2013), 184–190. <http://dl.acm.org/citation.cfm?id=2535418.2535447>
- [31] David Hammer and Leema K. Berland. 2014. Confusing Claims for Data: A Critique of Common Practices for Presenting Qualitative Research on Learning. *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 23, 1 (2014), 37–46.
- [32] Steve Harrison and Deborah Tatar. 2011. On Methods. *Interactions* 18, 2 (March 2011), 10–11. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1925820.1925823>
- [33] Chenglie Hu. 2016. Can Students Design Software?: The Answer Is More Complex Than You Think. In *Proceedings of the 47th ACM Technical Symposium on Computing Science Education (SIGCSE '16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 199–204. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2839509.2844563>
- [34] Peter Hubwieser, Marc Berges, Johannes Magenheimer, Niclas Schaper, Kathrin Bröker, Melanie Margaritis, Sigrid Schubert, and Laura Ohrndorf. 2013a. Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Computer Science in German Teacher Education Curricula. In *Proceedings of the 8th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education (WiPSE '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 95–103. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2532748.2532753> event-place: Aarhus, Denmark.
- [35] Peter Hubwieser, Johannes Magenheimer, Andreas Mühling, and Alexander Ruf. 2013b. Towards a Conceptualization of Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Computer Science. In *Proceedings of the ninth annual international ACM conference on international computing education research (ICER '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 1–8. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2493394.2493395>
- [36] C. D. Hundhausen, D. Fairbrother, and M. Petre. 2012. An Empirical Study of the "Prototype Walkthrough": A Studio-Based Activity for HCI Education. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction (TOCHI)* 19, 4 (Dec. 2012), 26:1–26:36. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2395131.2395133>
- [37] N. H. Ibrahim, J. Surif, A. H. Abdullah, and N. A. S. Sabtu. 2014. Comparison of Pedagogical Content Knowledge between Expert and Novice Lecturers in Teaching and Learning Process. In *2014 International Conference on Teaching and Learning in Computing and Engineering*. 240–246. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/LaTiCE.2014.53>
- [38] David G. Jansson and Steven M. Smith. 1991. Design Fixation. *Design Studies* 12, 1 (1991), 3–11.
- [39] Yvonne Kao, Katie D'Silva, Aleata Hubbard, Joseph Green, and Kimkinyona Cully. 2018. Applying the Mathematical Work of Teaching Framework to Develop a Computer Science Pedagogical Content Knowledge Assessment. In *Proceedings of the 49th ACM Technical Symposium on Computing Science Education (SIGCSE '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 888–893. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3159450.3159521>
- [40] Cazembe Kennedy and Eileen T. Kraemer. 2018. What Are They Thinking?: Eliciting Student Reasoning About Troublesome Concepts in Introductory Computer Science. In *Proceedings of the 18th Koli Calling*

- International Conference on Computing Education Research (Koli Calling '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 7:1–7:10. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3279720.3279728>
- [41] Amy J. Ko. 2017. A Three-Year Participant Observation of Software Startup Software Evolution. In *Proceedings of the 39th International Conference on Software Engineering: Software Engineering in Practice Track*. IEEE Press, 3–12. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ICSE-SEIP.2017.29>
- [42] Amy J Ko and Parmit K Chilana. 2011. Design, Discussion, and Dissent in Open Bug Reports. In *Proceedings of the 2011 iConference*. ACM, 106–113. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1940761.1940776>
- [43] Amy J. Ko and Richard E. Ladner. 2016. AccessComputing Promotes Teaching Accessibility. *ACM Inroads* 7, 4 (2016), 65–68. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2968453>
- [44] Aubrey Lawson, Eileen T. Kraemer, S. Megan Che, and Cazembe Kennedy. 2019. A Multi-Level Study of Undergraduate Computer Science Reasoning About Concurrency. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education (ITiCSE '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 210–216. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3304221.3319763>
event-place: Aberdeen, Scotland Uk.
- [45] Sarah Lewthwaite and David Sloan. 2016. Exploring Pedagogical Culture for Accessibility Education in Computing Science. In *Proceedings of the 13th Web for All Conference (W4A '16)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 3:1–3:4. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2899475.2899490>
- [46] Paul Luo Li, Amy J Ko, and Andrew Begel. 2017. Cross-Disciplinary Perspectives on Collaborations with Software Engineers. In *Cooperative and Human Aspects of Software Engineering (CHASE), 2017 IEEE/ACM 10th International Workshop on*. IEEE, 2–8. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/CHASE.2017.3>
- [47] Neomi Liberman, Yifat Ben-David Kolikant, and Catriel Beeri. 2009. In-service Teachers Learning of a New Paradigm: A Case Study. In *Proceedings of the Fifth International Workshop on Computing Education Research Workshop (ICER '09)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 43–50. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/1584322.1584329>
- [48] D. Scott McCrickard, C. M. Chewar, and Jacob Somervell. 2004. Design, Science, and Engineering Topics?: Teaching HCI with a Unified Method. In *Proceedings of the 35th SIGCSE technical symposium on computer science education (SIGCSE '04)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 31–35. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/971300.971314>
- [49] Tom McKlin, Taneisha Lee, Dana Wanzer, Brian Magerko, Doug Edwards, Sabrina Grossman, Emily Bryans, and Jason Freeman. 2019. Accounting for Pedagogical Content Knowledge in a Theory of Change Analysis. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research (ICER '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 157–165. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3291279.3339412>
- [50] Punyashloke Mishra and Matthew J. Koehler. 2006. Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9620.2006.00684.x>
- [51] Eduardo Navas, Owen Gallagher, and Borrough, xtine. 2014. *The Routledge companion to Remix studies*. Routledge.
- [52] Laura Ohrndorf and Sigrid Schubert. 2013. Measurement of Pedagogical Content Knowledge: Students' Knowledge and Conceptions. In *Proceedings of the 8th Workshop in Primary and Secondary Computing Education (WiPSE '13)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 104–107. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2532748.2532758>
- [53] Alannah Oleson, Christopher Mendez, Zoe Steine-Hanson, Claudia Hilderbrand, Christopher Perdriau, Margaret Burnett, and Amy J. Ko. 2018. Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Teaching Inclusive Design. In *Proceedings of the 2018 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research (ICER '18)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 69–77. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3230977.3230998>
- [54] Hye Park and Seda McKilligan. 2018. A Systematic Literature Review for Human-Computer Interaction and Design Thinking Process Integration. In *Design, User Experience, and Usability: Theory and Practice (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Aaron Marcus and Wentao Wang (Eds.). Springer International Publishing, 725–740.
- [55] Michael Quinn Patton. 2014. *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods: Integrating Theory and Practice*. SAGE Publications.
- [56] Marian Petre and Andre Van Der Hoek. 2013. *Software Designers in Action: A Human-Centric Look at Design Work* (1st ed.). Chapman & Hall/CRC.
- [57] Henry Petroski. 2006. *Success Through Failure: The Paradox of Design*. Princeton University Press.
- [58] Peter Pirolli and Stuart Card. 1995. Information Foraging in Information Access Environments. In *Chi*, Vol. 95. 51–58.
- [59] Chris Proctor, Maxwell Bigman, and Paulo Blikstein. 2019. Defining and Designing Computer Science Education in a K12 Public School District. In *Proceedings of the 50th ACM Technical Symposium on Computer Science Education (SIGCSE '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 314–320. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3287324.3287440>

- [60] Yolanda Jacobs Reimer and Sarah A. Douglas. 2003. Teaching HCI Design with the Studio Approach. *Computer Science Education* 13, 3 (2003), 191–205.
- [61] Horst W J Rittel. 1987. The Reasoning of Designers. (1987), 12.
- [62] Luciana Salgado, Roberto Pereira, and Isabela Gasparini. 2015. Cultural Issues in HCI: Challenges and Opportunities. In *Human-Computer Interaction: Design and Evaluation (Lecture Notes in Computer Science)*, Masaaki Kurosu (Ed.). Springer International Publishing, 60–70.
- [63] Lee Shulman. 1987. Knowledge and Teaching: Foundations of the New Reform. *Harvard Educational Review* 57, 1 (1987), 1–23. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/https://doi.org/10.17763/haer.57.1.j463w79r56455411>
- [64] Martin A. Siegel and Erik Stolterman. 2008. Metamorphosis: Transforming Non-Designers into Designers, Vol. 378. Sheffield, UK: Sheffield Hallam University, 1–13.
- [65] Charles Thevathayan and Margaret Hamilton. 2017. Imparting Software Engineering Design Skills. In *Proceedings of the Nineteenth Australasian Computing Education Conference (ACE '17)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 95–102. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3013499.3013511>
- [66] Anna Vallgarda and Ylva Fernaeus. 2015. Interaction Design As a Bricolage Practice. In *Proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Tangible, Embedded, and Embodied Interaction (TEI '15)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 173–180. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/2677199.2680594>
- [67] Rebecca Vivian and Katrina Falkner. 2019. Identifying Teachers' Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge for Computer Science in the Primary Years. In *Proceedings of the 2019 ACM Conference on International Computing Education Research (ICER '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 147–155. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3291279.3339410>
- [68] Lauren Wilcox, Betsy DiSalvo, Dick Henneman, and Qiaosi Wang. 2019. Design in the HCI Classroom: Setting a Research Agenda. In *Proceedings of the 2019 on Designing Interactive Systems Conference (DIS '19)*. ACM, New York, NY, USA, 871–883. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3322276.3322381>
- [69] Aman Yadav and Marc Berges. 2019. Computer Science Pedagogical Content Knowledge: Characterizing Teacher Performance. *ACM Trans. Comput. Educ.* 19, 3 (May 2019), 29:1–29:24. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1145/3303770>
- [70] Helen Z. Zhang, Charles Xie, and Saeid Nourian. 2018. Are their Designs Iterative or Fixated? Investigating Design Patterns from Student Digital Footprints in Computer-Aided Design Software. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education* 28, 3 (Sept. 2018), 819–841. DOI : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10798-017-9408-1>